arXiv:1608.04453v1 [math.GT] 16 Aug 2016

THE CONWAY POLYNOMIAL AND AMPHICHEIRAL KNOTS

J. CONANT AND V. A. MANATHUNGA

Abstract. According to work of Hartley and Kawauchi in 1979 and 1980, the Conway Polynomial of all negative amphicheiral knots and strongly positive amphicheiral knots factors as $\phi(z)\phi(-z)$ for some $\phi(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$. Moreover, a 2012 example due to Ermotti, Hongler and Weber shows that this is not true for general amphicheiral knots. On the other hand, in 2006 the first author made a conjecture equivalent to saying that the Conway polynomial of all amphicheiral knots splits as $\phi(z)\phi(-z)$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[z]$. In this paper, we establish this conjecture for all periodically amphicheiral knots built from braids, where the period preserves the braid structure. We also give counterexamples to conjectures on the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial of an amphicheiral knot due to Stoimenow.

1. Introduction

A knot is called amphicheiral if it coincides with its mirror image. More formally, we say there is an orientation reversing map from $S^3 \to S^3$ which fixes the knot setwise. In the category of oriented knots, we can distinguish this amphicheirality as positive or negative depending on whether the map preserves or reverses the orientation of the knot. If the map used in this process is an involution, we call the resulting amphicheirality "strong". It is worthwhile to note that a knot can be positive and negative amphicheiral at the same time [4]. The figure eight is an example of such a knot.

The Jones Polynomial is a powerful tool for detecting chirality, since the Jones Polynomial of a mirror image is often different from that of the original knot. The Conway Polynomial is, on the other hand, invariant under the process of taking a mirror image, so it not obvious that it could be used for detecting chirality. However, one can indeed use the Conway (or Alexander) polynomial to detect chirality among knots. In this article, we discuss two conjectures, one proposed by the first author and one by A. Stoimenow.

The first conjecture (Conjecture 2.1) has its foundation in the theory of Vassiliev invariants. However, after reformulation of the conjecture (Conjecture 2.3) it can be seen that it closely resembles a theorem of A. Kawauchi and R. Hartley [10]. Their theorem states that for negative and strong positive amphicheiral knots, the Conway polynomial splits. That is, the Conway polynomial of these two types of amphicheiral knots can be written as $f(z)f(-z)$ for some integer polynomial f. However this is not true for the remaining types of amphicheiral knots, positive amphicheiral knots which are not strong, and counterexamples have been found [6]. The first author's conjecture claims that instead of considering splittings over $\mathbb{Z}$, if we consider splittings over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ instead, then in fact we can write the Conway polynomial of any amphicheiral knot as $f(z)f(-z)$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z]$, including the

nonstrong positive amphicheiral case [5]. In general, to prove the conjecture for all amphicheiral knots, one needs only to prove it for hyperbolic knots (Theorem 2.6).

Now, the symmetry groups for hyperbolic knots are classified: they are either dihedral or cyclic[13, 16, 19]. If the knot is amphicheiral, they have to contain an orientation-reversing element. If there is an orientation-reversing element of order 2, that means the map is involution, so we know the knot is strongly amphicheiral and the Conway polynomial of the knot splits as $f(z)f(-z)$ by Hartley-Kawauchi. Dihedral groups are generated by involutions, so any amphicheiral knot with dihedral symmetry group must have an orientation-reversing involution. So we are left with cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ with orientation reversing generator h. If n is odd, then h^n is an orientation-reversing involution, so we are done in that case. Hence we may assume n is even. All hyperbolic knots with these cyclic symmetry groups can be constructed by taking a tangle T, considering the concatenation $(T \cdot T^*))^n$ and closing it up via the standard closure. Here T^* is the mirror image tangle with all crossings reversed. In sections 2 and 3, we consider the case where the tangle is actually a braid, proving Conjecture 2.3 is true for all amphicheiral knots coming from braids of the form $(ww^*)^n$. In this case, we are able to use the Burau representation and its connection to the knot's Alexander polynomial. In the case of a general tangle T, this particular method is unavailable, so a new technique is needed. It is worthwhile to note that there are amphicheiral knots which are not periodic [9].

The second conjecture (Conjecture 4.2) is based on the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial. The leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial can sometimes be used to detect chirality among knots. In 1997, K. Murasugi and J. Przytycki proved [18] that if the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial of an alternating knot is prime, then it is not amphicheiral. Another implication of A. Kawauchi and R. Hartley's theorem discussed above is that except for the non strong positive amphicheiral case, the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial of an amphicheiral knot is square. These results lead to the conjecture that the leading coefficient of amphicheiral knots is square or at least not prime. A. Stoimenow further strengthens this conjecture to hypothesize that the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial is square for certain other amphicheiral knot classes such as the classes of alternating amphicheiral knots. In section 4, we give several counterexamples to this conjecture by constructing nonstrong positive amphicheiral knots as braid closures.

2. Amphicheiral knots and the Conway polynomial

Considerations in Vassiliev theory led the first author [5] to conjecture

Conjecture 2.1. *If K is an amphicheiral knot, then its Conway polynomial $C(z)$ satisfies the property that $C(z)C(iz)C(z^2)$ is a perfect square in $\mathbb{Z}_4[z^2]$*

Our first task is to give a simpler reformulation of this conjecture.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $C(z)$ denote the Conway polynomial of a knot K. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *$C(z)C(iz)C(z^2)$ is a perfect square in $\mathbb{Z}_4[z^2]$*
2. *$C(z)C(iz) \equiv C(z^2) \mod 4$*
3. *$C(z)$ splits in $\mathbb{Z}_4[z]$. I.e. $C(z) \equiv f(z)f(-z) \mod 4$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$*

Proof. To prove (1) implies (2), suppose $C(z)C(iz)C(z^2) = f(z)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z^2]$. First we consider what happens modulo 2. Note that $g(z)^2 = g(z^2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[z]$, and that $C(iz) = C(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[z]$. So

$$C(z)C(iz)C(z^2) = C(z)C(z)C(z^2) = C(z^2)C(z^2)$$

But square roots are unique in $\mathbb{Z}_2[z]$, so $f(z) \equiv C(z^2) \mod 2$, and we have that $f(z) = C(z^2) + 2g(z)$ for some $g(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z]$. Squaring both sides, we see that $f(z)^2 = C(z^2)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z]$. Multiplication by $C(z^2)$ is an injection on $\mathbb{Z}_4[z]$, so the equation

$$C(z)C(iz)C(z^2) = f(z)^2 = C(z^2)^2$$

implies that $C(z)C(iz) = C(z^2) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z]$, as desired.

We can easily see that (2) implies (1).

To prove (2) implies (3), substitute z for z^2 in (3), then $C(z) \equiv f(z)f(-z) \mod 4$ for some integer polynomial f.

To prove (3) implies (2) we use following argument. Suppose $C(z) = f(z)f(-z)$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$. Let $\alpha(z), \beta(z), \gamma(z), \delta(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z^4]$. Then a general integer polynomial $f(z)$ can be written $f(z) = \alpha(z) + \beta(z)z + \gamma(z)z^2 + \delta(z)z^3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(z)f(-z)f(iz)f(-iz) = \\
&= (\alpha + \beta z + \gamma z^2 + \delta z^3)(\alpha - \beta z + \gamma z^2 - \delta z^3)(\alpha + i\beta z - \gamma z^2 - i\delta z^3)(\alpha - i\beta z - \\
&\gamma z^2 + \delta z^3) \\
&= [(\alpha + \gamma z^2)^2 - (\beta z + \delta z^3)^2][(\alpha - \gamma z^2)^2 - (i\beta z - i\delta z^3)^2] \\
&= [(\alpha + \gamma z^2)^2 - (\beta z + \delta z^3)^2][(\alpha - \gamma z^2)^2 + (\beta z - \delta z^3)^2] \\
&\equiv [(\alpha + \gamma z^2)^2 - (\beta z + \delta z^3)^2][(\alpha + \gamma z^2)^2 + (\beta z + \delta z^3)^2] \\
&= (\alpha + \gamma z^2)^4 - (\beta z + \delta z^3)^4 \\
&= F(z)^4 - G(z)^4
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact $(A + B)^2 \equiv (A - B)^2 \mod 4$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z^2)f(-z^2) &= (\alpha(z^2) + \beta(z^2)z^2 + \gamma(z^2)z^4 + \delta(z^2)z^6)(\alpha(z^2) - \beta(z^2)z^2 + \gamma(z^2)z^4 - \\
&\quad \delta(z^2)z^6) \\
&= (\alpha(z^2) + \gamma(z^2)z^4)^2 - (\beta(z^2)z^2 + \delta(z^2)z^6)^2 \\
&= F(z^2)^2 - G(z^2)^2
\end{aligned}$$

So, to show that $f(z)f(-z)f(iz)f(-iz) \equiv f(z^2)f(-z^2) \mod 4$. It suffices to show that $F(z^2)^2 \equiv F(z)^4 \mod 4$ for any integer polynomial $F(z)$. This can easily be proven by induction. The base case of a monomial is trivial. Otherwise, write $F(z) = A(z) + B(z)$ for two polynomials of shorter length. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F(z^2)^2 &= A(z^2)^2 + 2A(z^2)B(z^2) + B(z^2)^2 \\
&= A(z)^4 + 2A(z)^2B(z)^2 + B(z)^4 \\
&= (A(z) + B(z))^4
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $(A+B)^4 \equiv A^4 + 2A^2B^2 + B^4 \mod 4$. Thus we proved that if $C(z) = f(z)f(-z) \mod 4$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} C(z)C(iz) &\equiv f(z)f(-z)f(iz)f(-iz) \mod 4 \\ &\equiv f(z^2)f(-z^2) \mod 4 \\ &\equiv C(z^2) \mod 4 \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus Conjecture 2.1 can be reformulated as

Conjecture 2.3. *If K is amphicheiral, then $C(z) \equiv f(z)f(-z) \mod 4$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$*

Surprisingly, Conjecture 2.3 is very close to a theorem proved by A. Kawauchi and R.I. Hartley :

Theorem 2.4. [8, 10, 15]*Let $C(z)$ be the Conway polynomial of a knot K. If K is a negative amphicheiral knot then $C(z) = f(z)f(-z)$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$. If K is a strongly positive amphicheiral knot then $C(z) = f(z^2)^2$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$.*

This proves that Conjecture 2.3 is true for all $(-)$ and strongly $(+)$ amphicheiral knots. From Hartley and Kawauchi's theorem, it is natural to formulate the following strengthening of Conjecture 2.3.

Conjecture 2.5. *For any amphicheiral knot K, $C_K(z) = \phi(z)\phi(-z)$ for some $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$.*

However, this conjecture is **false.** A counterexample was found in 2012 by N. Ermotti, C. V. Q. Hongler, C. Weber [6]. Figure 1 reproduced from [6] shows this counterexample knot. This knot is an alternating positive amphicheiral knot with



FIGURE 1. The Ermotti-Hongler-Weber knot

Conway polynomial $C(z) = (4z^8+16z^6+12z^4-16z^2+1)(1+z)(1-z)(2z^4-1)^2$ which does not split as $f(z)f(-z)$ for any $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$. Thus it is indeed a counterexample to Conjecture 2.5. However note that $C(z) \equiv (1+z)(1-z)(2z^4-1)^2 \equiv \phi(z)\phi(-z) \mod 4$.



FIGURE 2. The braid w and its closure

We have now produced many counterexamples to Conjecture 2.5. A nonalternating counterexample is given in Figure 2. Let $w = \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_4^2$ be the 5-strand braid in Figure 2, then the Conway polynomial of the closure of ww^*ww^* is: $C_K(z) = (1+z^2)^2(1+3z^2)^2(1-11z^2+33z^4+8z^6)$ where w^* is the braid w with all crossings reversed. Thus it is a counterexample to the Conjecture 2.5 because braids of this form are amphicheiral (see section 3). The knot is nonalternating due to the fact that the absolute value of the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial of an alternating amphicheiral knot is square [20]. Still, this is not a counterexample to Conjecture 2.3 because, $C_K(z) \equiv (1-z+z^2)(1+z+z^2)(1+z^4)^2 \mod 4$.

Hartley [8] shows that $C_K(z) = \phi(z)\phi(-z)$ for all negative amphicheiral knots. His proof takes as input his joint result with Kawauchi [10], that strongly negative amphicheiral knots have this property. Hartley then proves the general case by breaking up the knot complement into pieces which are hyperbolic or Seifert fibred via the JSJ decomposition. One can mimic this argument to show that Conjecture 2.3 follows if it can be proven for hyperbolic positive amphicheiral knots.

Theorem 2.6. *If* $C_K(z) = \phi(z)\phi(-z) \mod 4$ *for all hyperbolic positive amphicheiral knots then* $C_K(z) = \phi(z)\phi(-z) \mod 4$ *for all amphicheiral knots.*

Proof. First we note that the condition that $C_K(z) = \phi(z)\phi(-z) \mod 4$ is equivalent to the condition that $\Delta_K(t^2) = s(t)s(t^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[t^{\pm 1}]$ where $s(t) = s(-t^{-1})$. So we may as well work with Alexander polynomials. The proof of Theorem 3.1 of [8] shows that the Alexander polynomial of an amphicheiral knot K can be written as a finite product

$$\Delta_K(t) = \prod_i (g_i(t^{\alpha_i}))^{\gamma_i}$$

where each $g_i(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of a knot K_i. If γ_i is odd, then the knot K_i is hyperbolic and amphicheiral and α_i is either 0 or odd. By hypothesis, the Alexander polynomials in this odd case split over $\mathbb{Z}_4$, and the proof in [8] goes through. □

In fact, every hyperbolic positive amphicheiral knot is *periodically amphicheiral* by Mostow rigidity. This just means that the orientation reversing homeomorphism

$h\colon S^3 \to S^3$ which realizes the knot's amphicheirality is a finite order homeomorphism.

So the question is whether $C(z) \equiv f(z)f(-z) \mod 4$ for periodically positive amphicheiral knots. If yes, then we have solved Conjecture 2.3 affirmatively.

3. The Alexander polynomial and the Burau representation

Since we are focusing on periodically positive amphicheiral knots, we need a method to build these knots systematically. Let w be a $(2n+1)$-braid, and w^* be the mirror image of the braid w (which means all crossings are reversed). Then the closure ww^* is a strong positive amphicheiral knot and the closure ww^*ww^* is a periodically positive amphicheiral knot.



FIGURE 3. Braids ww^* and ww^*ww^*

Definition 3.1. [3] *Let σ_i denote the standard generator of the braid group B_n with $n \geq 3$. Then the reduced Burau representation of B_n, for $n \geq 3$ is given by*

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{n-3} \end{array}\right),$$

$$\sigma_i \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} I_{i-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & -t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-i-2} \end{array}\right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$\sigma_{n-1} \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} I_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t & -t \end{array}\right),$$

Here I_k denotes the $k \times k$ identity matrix. For $n = 2$ it maps $\sigma_1 \mapsto (-t)$.

The following is well known.

Theorem 3.2. *If a knot K is a closure of a braid Ψ in B_n then the Alexander polynomial of the knot K is given by*

$$\Delta_K(t) = \frac{\det(I - \beta(\Psi))}{1 + t + t^2 + ...t^{n-1}} \text{ where } \beta(\Psi) \text{ is Burau representation of } \Psi$$

Before we prove the main theorem of this section, we need a few results. Let Re be the operation on braids which reverses the order of a braid word. E.g. if $w = \sigma_1\sigma_3^2\sigma_2$ then $\mathrm{Re}(w) = \sigma_2\sigma_3^2\sigma_1$. If L is a knot or link then let $\mathrm{Re}(L)$ be the knot or link with every strand orientation reversed. Let w^* be the braid with all crossings reversed. Let $\mathrm{Cl}(w)$ denote the standard closure of a braid, and let $\hat{\mathrm{Cl}}(w)$ be the link $\mathrm{Cl}(w) \cup m$, where m is an additional component representing the braid axis, oriented to link positively with $\mathrm{Cl}(w)$.

Lemma 3.3. *For any braid w, $\hat{\mathrm{Cl}}(\mathrm{Re}(w)) = \mathrm{Re}(\hat{\mathrm{Cl}}(w))$.*

Proof. Reversing the strand orientation necessitates reading the braid in reverse order. □

Lemma 3.4. *For any braid w, $\mathrm{Re}((ww^*)^k)$ and $(ww^*)^{-k}$ are conjugate.*

Proof. Let $w = g_1 \dots g_n$, where g_i are braid generators and their inverses. Then $ww^* = g_1 \dots g_n g_1^{-1} \dots g_n^{-1})$. Now $(ww^*)^{-1} = g_n \dots g_1 g_n^{-1} \dots g_1^{-1}$ while $\mathrm{Re}(ww^*) = g_n^{-1} \dots g_1^{-1} g_n \dots g_1$. So the braids $\mathrm{Re}(ww^*)$ and $(ww^*)^{-1}$ represent the same cyclic words and are therefore conjugate. To complete the proof, note that $\mathrm{Re}((ww^*)^k) = (\mathrm{Re}(ww^*))^k$ is conjugate to $((ww^*)^{-1})^k = (ww^*)^{-k}$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with $\det(A) = 1$. Suppose A and A^{-1} have the same characteristic polynomial, χ_A. Then the coefficient of λ^k equals the coefficient of λ^{n-k}. That means $\chi_A = \lambda^n + a_1\lambda^{n-1} + a_2\lambda^{n-2} + ... + a_2\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda + 1$*

Proof. When A is nonsingular, it is easy to see that $\chi_A(\lambda) = \chi_{A^{-1}}(\lambda^{-1})$ from which the result follows. □

Now we give the definition of Lucas polynomials, which we will use in the proof of Theorem 3.9. They are useful for converting between Alexander and Conway polynomials. (Recall that the Conway polynomial $C(z)$ and Alexander polynomial $A(t)$ are related by the change of variables $z = \sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$.)

Definition 3.6. *The Lucas polynomials are defined recursively by $L_0 = 2, L_1 = z, L_n = zL_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

Lemma 3.7. [1] *Let $z = \sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$. Then $t^{n/2} + (-1)^n t^{-n/2} = L_n(z)$.*

Proof. The base cases of $k = 0, 1$ are obvious. So it suffices to show that $t^{k/2} + t^{-k/2}$ satisfies the defining relation of the Lucas polynomials:

$$t^{n/2} + (-1)^n t^{-n/2} =$$
$$(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t^{(n-1)/2} + (-1)^{n-1} t^{-(n-1)/2}) + (t^{(n-2)/2} + (-1)^{n-2} t^{-(n-2)/2}),$$

which is not difficult. □

Lemma 3.8. *The Lucas polynomials satisfy the following identity in $\mathbb{Z}_4[z]$.*

$$(1 + L_1 + \cdots + L_n)(1 - L_1 + \cdots + (-1)^n L_n)) = (-1)^n(1 + L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{2n}).$$

Proof. We use the following identity [24], $L_nL_m = L_{n+m} + (-1)^m L_{n-m}$. We use induction on n. The base case is easy, so we consider the induction step. Assume first that n is even. Then

$$((1 + L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) + L_n)((1 - L_1 + \cdots - L_{n-1}) + L_n) = \\ - (1 + L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{2n-2}) + 2L_n(1 + L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{n-2}) + L_n^2$$

We have (in $\mathbb{Z}_4[z]$)

$$2L_n(1 + L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{n-2}) = 2(L_n + L_{n\pm 2} + \cdots + L_{n\pm(n-2)} = \\ 2(L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{2n-2})$$

So continuing the calculation, we have

$$- (1 + L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{2n-2}) + 2(L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{2n-2}) + L_{2n} + 2 = \\ 1 + L_2 + L_4 + \cdots + L_{2n}$$

completing the inductive step when n is even. The inductive step when n is odd is similar and left to the reader. □

Theorem 3.9. *If K is a positive amphicheiral knot coming from the closure of the braid $(ww^*)^{2^m}$, where w is a braid with an odd number of strands, then the Conway polynomial of K satisfies Conjecture 2.3. i.e*

$$C_K(z) \equiv f(z)f(-z) \mod 4 \text{ for some } f \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z]$$

Proof. We proceed by induction. We will use the fact that an n-braid closes to a knot iff any power of it closes to a knot. (The associated permutation must be an n-cycle.) Thus the induction will go smoothly.

For $m = 1$, the resulting knot is strongly positive amphicheiral, so the result holds. Indeed $C_K(z) = f(z^2)^2$ for a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$.

Consider the characteristic polynomial of $(ww^*)^{2^m}$: $\det(\lambda I - \beta((ww*)^m))$ where w is a $2n+1$-braid. First we claim that the characteristic polynomials of $(ww^*)^k$ and $(ww^*)^{-k}$ are the same. This follows because the characteristic polynomial is the 2-variable Alexander polynomial of the link which is the braid closure union the braid axis [17]. In particular, Lemma 3.4 implies that $\mathrm{Re}(ww^*)$ and $(ww^*)^{-1}$ are conjugate, from which it follows that the link closures including the braid axes are the same up to a global orientation reversal (3.3). But the two variable Alexander polynomial is invariant under global orientation reversal.

Hence, the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\beta(ww^*)^k}(\lambda)$ is symmetric. When $\lambda = 1$, $\chi_{\beta(ww^*)^k}(1) = 2(1 + a_1(t) + \cdots + a_n(t))$. Similarly, $\chi_{\beta((ww^*)^k)}(-1) = 2(1 - a_1(t) + a_2(t) + \cdots + (-1)^n a_n(t))$. Thus

$$\chi_{\beta(ww^*)^k}(1) + (-1)^n \chi_{\beta(ww^*)^k}(-1) = \\ \det(I - \beta(ww^*)^k) + (-1)^n \det(I + \beta(ww^*)^k) \equiv 0 \mod 4.$$

In this proof we have used fact that $a_i(t)$, when converted using the substitution $\sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} = z$ yields integer polynomials.

Now observe $(1 + t + \cdots t^{2n})$ differs only by a power of t from $((t^n + \frac{1}{t^n}) + \cdots + (t + 1/t) + 1) = L_{2n}(z) + L_{2n-2}(z) + \cdots + 1$ by Lemma 3.7. Now, by Lemma 3.8,

this is equivalent to $(-1)^n(1+L_1(z)+\cdots+L_n(z))(1-L_1(z)+\cdots+(-1)^nL_n(z)))$ mod 4.

The induction hypothesis is that the Alexander polynomial of the knot closure of $(ww^*)^{2^{m-1}}$ is

$$\frac{\det(I-\beta((ww^*)^{2^{m-1}}))}{1+t+\cdots+t^{2n}} \equiv f(z)f(-z) \text{ for some} f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z]$$

.

From above we have, $\det(I-\beta(ww^*)^{2^{m-1}}) + (-1)^n \det(I+\beta(ww^*)^{2^{m-1}}) \equiv 0$ mod 4. Thus we conclude

$$\det(I+\beta(ww^*)) \equiv f^2(z)(1+L_1(z)+\cdots+L_n(z))(1-L_1(z)+\cdots+(-1)^nL_n(z))) \mod 4$$

Now consider the closure of braid $(ww^*)^{2^m}$. We can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\det(I-\beta(ww^*)^{2^m})}{1+t+\cdots+t^{2n}} &= \frac{\det(I-\beta(ww^*)^{2^{m-1}})\det(I+\beta(ww^*)^{2^{m-1}})}{1+t+\cdots+t^{2n}} \\ &\equiv f^2(z)f^2(-z)(1+L_1(z)+\cdots+L_n(z))(1-L_1(z)+\cdots+(-1)^nL_n(z)) \\ &\equiv g(z)g(-z) \mod 4 \\ \text{where } g(z) &= f^2(z)(1+L_1(z)+\cdots+L_n(z))\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the easily proven fact that $L_{2n+1}(z)$ contains only odd powers of z.

This concludes the proof of the inductive step.

□

Corollary 3.10. *If K is a positive amphicheiral knot coming from the closure of the braid $(ww^*)^k$, where w is a braid with an odd number of strands, then the Conway polynomial of K satisfies Conjecture 2.3. i.e*

$$C_K(z) \equiv f(z)f(-z) \mod 4 \text{ for some } f \in \mathbb{Z}_4[z]$$

Proof. Suppose $k = 2q+1$ is odd. Then $(ww^*)^k = \tilde{w}\tilde{w}^*$ where $\tilde{w} = (ww^*)^q w$. In general we have $k = 2^m(2q+1)$, and

$$(ww^*)^k = (w^{2q+1})^{2^m} = (\tilde{w}\tilde{w}^*)^{2^m},$$

which is of the form where Theorem 3.9 applies. □

We close this section with an example where we calculate the Conway Polynomials explicitly for an infinite class of 3 strand braids of the form ww^*ww^*. In view of Theorem 2.4, these all have Conway polynomials which split over $\mathbb{Z}_4[z]$, but in general they will not split over $\mathbb{Z}[z]$. These examples are closely related to Fibonacci polynomials, which we now introduce.

Definition 3.11. [11] *The two variable generalized Fibonacci polynomial is defined recursively as follows:*
$U_n(x,y) = xU_{n-1}(x,y) + yU_{n-2}(x,y)$, $U_1(x,y) = 1, U_0(x,y) = 0$
The one variable Fibonacci polynomial is defined by,
$F_1(z) = 1, F_2(z) = z, F_n(z) = zF_{n-1}(z) + F_{n-2}(z)$.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $\Omega_n(t) = \Sigma_{i=0}^{n-1}(-t)^i$. When n is odd, $\frac{\Omega_n^2}{t^{n-1}} = F_n^2(z)$, where F_n denotes the n^{th} Fibonacci polynomial and $z = \sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$.*

Proof. We need to prove $t^{-(n-1)/2}\Omega_n(t) = F_n(t)$, when n is odd. First we claim that $U_n(t-1,t) = (-1)^{n-1}\Sigma_{i=0}^{n-1}(-t)^i$. This can be proved using induction. In particular when n is odd, $U_n(t-1,t) = \Omega_n(t)$. Next we use following identity [11]. $U_n(x,y) = y^{(n-1)/2}F_n(\frac{x}{\sqrt{y}})$. So we have $U_n(t-1,t) = t^{(n-1)/2}F_n(\sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}) = t^{(n-1)/2}F_n(z)$. Letting n be odd, we get our result.

□

Proposition 3.13. *Let K be the closure of the 3-braid ww^*ww^*, where $w = \sigma_1^n\sigma_2^m, w^* = \sigma_1^{-n}\sigma_2^{-m}$ with n, m are odd integers. Then*

$$C_K(z) = F_n^2(z)F_m^2(z)(4 - F_n^2(z)F_m^2(z)(z^2+3))$$

where $F_n(z)$ is n-th Fibonacci polynomial.

Proof. Consider the Alexander polynomial, $\Delta_K(t)$, of the closure of ww^*ww^*. Then using the Burau representation,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_K(t) &= \frac{\det(I - \beta(ww^*ww^*))}{1+t+t^2} \\ &= \frac{\det(I-\beta(ww^*))\det(I+\beta(ww^*))}{1+t+t^2}\end{aligned}$$

Let matrices A, B denote the Burau representation of σ_1 and σ_2 respectively. That means,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -t & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & -t \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $w = \sigma_1^n\sigma_2^m$, this implies,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_K(t) &= \frac{\det(I - A^nB^mA^{-n}B^{-m}A^nB^mA^{-n}B^{-m})}{1+t+t^2} \\ &= \frac{\det(B^mA^n - A^nB^m)\det(B^mA^n + A^nB^m)}{(1+t+t^2)\det(A^nB^m)^2}\end{aligned}$$

Now observe that,

$$A^n = \begin{pmatrix} (-t)^n & \Omega_n(t) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t\Omega_m(t) & (-t)^m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^nB^m = \begin{pmatrix} (-t)^n + t\Omega_m\Omega_n & (-t)^m\Omega_n \\ t\Omega_m & (-t)^m \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^mA^n = \begin{pmatrix} (-t)^n & \Omega_n \\ t(-t)^n\Omega_m & t\Omega_m\Omega_n \end{pmatrix}$$

here $\Omega_n = \Sigma_{i=0}^{n-1}(-t)^i$. Also note that $\det(A^nB^m) = t^{n+m}$. Now, tedious calculations shows that,

$$\begin{aligned}&\det(B^mA^n - A^nB^m) = \Omega_n\Omega_m t(1 + t^m + t^n + t^{m+n} - \Omega_m\Omega_n t) \text{ and} \\ &\det(B^mA^n + A^nB^m) = 4(-t)^{m+n} - \Omega_n\Omega_m t(1 + t^m + t^n + t^{m+n} - \Omega_m\Omega_n t)\end{aligned}$$

Now using the identity, $\frac{1+t^m+t^n+t^{m+n}-\Omega_m\Omega_n t}{1+t+t^2} = \Omega_m\Omega_n$,

$$\Delta_K(t) = \frac{\Omega_m\Omega_n t(4t^{m+n} - \Omega_m^2\Omega_n^2 t(1+t+t^2))}{t^{2(m+n)}}$$

Now using the Corollary 3.12, we have $\frac{\Omega_n^2}{t^{n-1}} = F_n^2(z)$ and $\frac{\Omega_m^2}{t^{m-1}} = F_n^2(z)$. Substituting these identities in above equation, we get

$$\Delta_K(t) = \frac{F_n^2 F_m^2}{t}(4 - F_n^2 F_m^2(t + \frac{1}{t} + 1))$$

Now observing $t + \frac{1}{t} + 1 = z^2 + 3$ and the Alexander polynomial is defined up to multiplication by $t^{\pm 1}$, we conclude that the Conway polynomial of the closure of braid ww^*ww^* is given by $C(z) = F_n^2 F_m^2(4 - F_n^2 F_m^2(z^2 + 3))$. □

Note that

$$C_K(z) \equiv F_n^4 F_m^4(z-1)(z+1) \mod 4$$

consistent with Theorem 3.9. In fact, in the next proposition we give two special cases of the preceding proposition where $C_K(z)$ splits over the integers: when $n = m$ and when one of n, m is equal to 1. However, in general $C_K(z)$ does not split. Indeed $\varphi_{n,m} = (4 - F_n^2(z)F_m^2(z)(z^2+3))$ is irreducible in all other cases where n, m are odd integers less than 100, and it may be that it never splits except in the two cases of Proposition 3.14.

Proposition 3.14. *Let m be odd.*

(1) *Then $\varphi_{1,m} = p(z)p(-z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$, where $p(z) = F_{m+1} + F_m + F_{m-1}$.*
(2) *$\varphi_{m,m} = p(z)p(-z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$, where $p(z) = F_m^2 + F_{2m} - 2$.*

Proof. The proof of part (a) uses the fact that the Fibonacci polynomials F_m are a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ together with the multiplication rule

$$F_n F_m = F_{n+m-1} - F_{n+m-3} + \cdots \pm F_{|n-m|+1}.$$

Part (b) follows from the identity

$$3F_n^4 + F_3 F_n^4 + 4(-1)^n F_n^2 - F_{2n}^2 = 0.$$

This can be proven using the identity

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \bar{\alpha}^n}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{z+\sqrt{z^2+4}}{2}$ and $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{z-\sqrt{z^2+4}}{2}$. □

4. The leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial and amphicheiral knots

In this section we explore two conjectures proposed by A. Stoimenow regarding the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial of an amphicheiral knot. The conjectures are based on the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. [20] *Let K be an amphicheiral knot. Then the leading coefficient of the Alexander(and Conway) polynomial of the knot K, $\Delta_K(z)$ is a square(up to sign) and the sign of the leading coefficient of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(z)$ is $(-1)^{\textbf{max deg } \Delta_K(z)}$ if any of the following hold.*

(1) *K is an alternating knot,*
(2) *K is strongly amphicheiral or negative amphicheiral,*
(3) *K is any knot with at most 16 crossings or*
(4) *K is a fibered homogeneous knot.*

This theorem provides strong background for following conjectures.

Conjecture 4.2. [20] *The leading coefficient of the Alexander (or Conway) polynomial of an amphicheiral knot is a square.*

Conjecture 4.3. [20] *The sign of the leading coefficient of the Alexander polynomial, Δ_K of an amphicheiral knot K is $(-1)^{\max \deg \Delta_K}$.*

It is easy to see that any counterexample to Conjecture 4.2 is again a counterexample to the Kawauchi conjecture 2.5. More formally we can say,

Fact 4.4. *If the leading coefficient of the polynomial $G(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ is not square then $G(z) \neq F(z)F(-z)$ for any $F(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$.*

Now we give several counterexamples to conjectures we mentioned at the beginning.

Counterexample 4.5. *Let $w = \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_4^2$, which is a 5-strand braid. Then the Conway polynomial of the closure of a ww^*ww^* is $1-3z^2-33z^4+54z^6+535z^8+869z^{10}+489z^{12}+72z^{14}$. The knot ww^*ww^* is shown in the Figure 4. It was obtained using Knotscape*[12].



FIGURE 4. Amphicheiral knot with the leading coefficient 72

Theorem 6 in [18] states that if K is an alternating knot and the leading coefficient of the Alexander polynomial is prime, then K is non-amphicheiral. With this theorem, one may think that the leading coefficient of the Alexander polynomial of an amphicheiral knot which does not satisfy above conjectures must be composite. However the leading coefficient of the Alexander polynomial of the following amphicheiral knot is prime and serves as a counterexample to three Conjectures 2.5, 4.2 and 4.3.

Counterexample 4.6. *Let $w = \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_4\sigma_3\sigma_2^2\sigma_3^2\sigma_4$ be the 5-strand braid. Then the Conway polynomial of the closure of ww^*ww^* is $1+5z^2+39z^4+246z^6+657z^8+743z^{10}+301z^{12}-78z^{14}-105z^{16}-31z^{18}-3z^{20}$. The knot is positive amphicheiral but not strongly amphicheiral.*

After seeing these counterexamples, one may wish to see a prime non-alternating knot which does not satisfy all of the above three conjectures. Upon our request, M. Thistlethwaite [22] kindly provided us the list of 19-crossings prime positive amphicheiral knots. In that list, the following knot does not satisfy any of the above three conjectures and it is a non-alternating positive(not strong) amphicheiral knot.

Counterexample 4.7. *Let K be the knot with the following Dowker-Thistlethwaite code.*

$$6, -12, 32, -18, -26, 16, -4, -22, 34, -38, 30, -14, 20, 36, -10, 24, 2, 28, -8$$

Then K is a prime non-alternating and positive non strong amphichiral knot with the Conway polynomial, $1 + 3z^2 + 8z^4$. The knot is depicted in the Figure 5. It was obtained using Knotscape[12]*. Observe that $1 + 3z^2 + 8z^4 \equiv (1 - z)(1 + z)$* mod 4*. Thus it is not a counterexample to Conjecture 2.3*



FIGURE 5. Non alternating prime amphicheiral knot with the leading coefficient 8

We finish this section with following realization problem.

Question 4.8. *Let n be an arbitrary integer. Is it possible to have an amphicheiral knot K with n as a leading coefficient of its Conway polynomial?*

Conjecture 2.3 puts restrictions on the n that can appear. Namely, if $\deg(C_K(z)) = 2n$, then the leading coefficient must be of the form $(-1)^n(4k + 1)$ or divisible by 4. In particular no number of the form $4k + 2$ can appear as the leading coefficient of an amphicheiral knot if Conjecture 2.3 is true.

If n is a square, then we have a positive answer to the preceding question due to E. Flapan. Namely she has shown if $C(z) = F(z)^2$ and $F(0) = 1$ for some integer polynomial $F(z)$, then there exists a strong positive amphicheiral knot which has $C(z)$ as its Conway polynomial [7]. The question will be more interesting when the absolute value of n is prime.

Question 4.9. *For a given prime p, is there an amphicheiral knot such that the absolute value of the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial is p?*

If the answer to the above question is yes, we can certainly choose the amphicheiral knot to be prime and even hyperbolic by the factorization formula for the Alexander Polynomial in terms of the knot complement's JSJ components cf. the proof of Theorem 2.6. That means we have prime amphicheiral knots with prime numbers as leading coefficient of their Conway polynomials. It is clear from Theorem 4.1, if it exists then they are non alternating positive non strong amphicheiral knots.

We found several amphicheiral knots which have odd prime numbers as leading coefficients. The table 1 and the Figure 6 summarize our finding. Figures were obtained using Knotscape[12] and the Conway polynomial calculated using the Mathematica package KnotTheory[2, 25].

TABLE 1. Leading coefficients of the Conway polynomial for knot ww^*ww^*

Braid word w	Leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial of ww^*ww^*	Number of strands
$\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_4\sigma_3\sigma_2^2\sigma_3^2\sigma_4$	-3	5
$\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}$	5	5
$\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_4\sigma_4\sigma_4\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_4\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_4\sigma_3^{-1}$	-7	5
$\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_4\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2$	-11	5
$\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_3\sigma_3\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3$	13	5
$\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}$	17	5

The table suggest that using 5- strand braid words w, we may be able to get all odd prime numbers (in absolute value) as a leading coefficient. Conjecture 2.3 implies that ± 2 cannot appear as a leading coefficient.



FIGURE 6. Amphicheiral knots where the leading coefficient of the Conway polynomial is prime

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Shashikant Mulay and Morwen Thistlethwaite for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

[1] Agle, K., *Alexander and Conway polynomials of Torus knots*, Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee, 2012. http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_gradthes/1127

[2] Bar-Natan, D., *The Mathematica Package KnotTheory - Knot Atlas.* ,[Online]. Available: http://katlas.org/wiki/The_ Mathematica_Package _KnotTheory%60.

[3] Birman, J. S., "*Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups.*", Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press, 1975.

[4] Buskirk, J. M. V., *A class of negative-amphicheiral knots and their Alexander polynomials.*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 413422, Sep. 1983.

[5] Conant, J., *"Chirality and the Conway polynomial."*, Topology Proceedings, vol. 30, no. 1, pp.153-162, 2006.
[6] Ermotti, N., Hongler, C. V. Q, and Weber, C., *On a generalization of the Kawauchi conjecture about the Conway polynomial of achiral knots.*, J. Knot Theory and Its Ramifications, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 153-162, 2012
[7] Flapan, E., *A prime strongly positive amphicheiral knot which is not slice.*, Math.Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., vol. 100, no.03, pp. 533-537, 1986
[8] Hartley, R. I., *Invertible amphicheiral knots.*, Math. Ann., vol. 252, no. 2, pp. 103-109, 1980
[9] Hartley, R. I., *Knots and Involutions.*, Math. Zeit., vol. 171, no. 2, pp. 175-185, 1980
[10] Hartley, R.I. and Kawauchi, A., *Polynomials of amphicheiral knots.*, Math. Ann., vol. 243, no. 01, 63–70, 1979
[11] Hoggatt, V. Jr. and Long, C., *Divisibility Properties of Generalized Fibonacci Polynomials.*, The Fibonacci Quarterly, vol. 12.2: pp. 113-120, 1974
[12] Hoste, J. and Thistlethwaite, M., *Knotscape*, Available : http: // www.math.utk.edu / morwen / knotscape.html
[13] Hoste, J., Thistlethwaite, M. and Weeks, J. *"The First 1701936 Knots."*, Math. Intell. 20, 33-48, 1998.
[14] Kawauchi, A., *Survey on Knot Theory.*, Birkhäuser, 1996
[15] Kawauchi, A., *The invertibility problem on amphicheiral excellent knots.*, Proc. Japan. Acad., vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 399-402, 1979
[16] Kodama K. and Sakuma, M. *"Symmetry Groups of Prime Knots Up to 10 Crossings."*, In Knot 90, Proceedings of the International Conference on Knot Theory and Related Topics, Osaka, Japan, Berlin: de Gruyter, pp. 323-340, 1992.
[17] Morton, H.R., *Exchangeable braids* , Low-dimensional topology (Chelwood Gate, 1982), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 95, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985, pp. 86?105.
[18] Murasugi, K. and Przytycki, J, *Index of graphs and non amphicheirality of alternating knots.*, Progress in Knot theory and related topics, vol. 56, no. 01, pp. 21-28, 1997
[19] Riley, R., *"An Elliptic Path from Parabolic Representations to Hyperbolic Structures."*, In Topology of Low-Dimensional Manifolds, Proceedings, Sussex 1977 (Ed. R. Fenn). New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 99-133, 1979.
[20] Stoimenow, A., *Square numbers and polynomial invariants of achiral knots.*, Math. Zeit., vol. 255, no. 4, pp. 703-719, 2007
[21] Stoimenow, A., *Tait's conjectures and odd crossing number amphicheiral knots..*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 45, no.2, pp. 285-291, 2008
[22] Thistlethwaite, M., personal communications
[23] Vassiliev, V.A., *Cohomology of knot spaces.* , Theory of Singularities and Its Applications, Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 1, pp. 2369, 1990
[24] Wu, Z. and Zhang, W., *Several identities involving the Fibonacci polynomials and Lucas polynomials.* , J. Inequalities and Applications, 2013:205
[25] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica*, Version 9.0, Champaign, IL

Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, jconant@math.utk.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Austin Peay State University,, Clarksville TN 37040, manathungav@apsu.edu